New Mexico- Portales Field Office FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Ground & Surface Water Total Points: Applicant: Date: Tract No.: Field No. Farm No.: Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land 1. Water Quantity - 100 Potential Points (25% of Total) Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate. Benchmark & After points equal actual % efficiency. Ranking Score equals After minus Benchmark. Total Ranking **Total After** Benchmark Score Benchmark After Points % of Area in % % of Area in **Points Points** Weighted Weighted Contract Efficiency Efficiency Contract Score Score 1. Water After Total: Benchmark Total: Quantity 2. Water Quality - 85 Potential Points (21% of Total) A. Surface Water Pollutants - 40 Points Maximum There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or reentering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0. Distance of Surface runoff to Live Water Points Benchmark After <100 Ft. 40 0 101 - 500 Ft. 30 0 0 501 - 1,320 Ft. 20 1,320 - 2,640 Ft. 10 0 >2,640 Ft. 0 0 A. Surface Water Total 0 B. Ground Water Pollutants - 45 Points Maximum There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on depth to the water table, or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to water table). Depth to Water Table **Points** Benchmark After 1 - 10 Ft **or** elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. 45 10 - 50 Ft. 35 0 50 -100 Ft. 25 0 >100 Ft. 0 0 0 B. Ground Water Total 2. Water Quality Total 0

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 170 Potential Soil Erosion: Wind Range Planting (550) Field Borders (Buffer Strip) (386) Water Quality: Excessive Nutrients and Organics in Groundwater	Points (43	% of Total)	
Range Planting (550) Field Borders (Buffer Strip) (386)	Points	% of Need to be Installed	Points
Range Planting (550) Field Borders (Buffer Strip) (386)	FUIIII	be instance	
Field Borders (Buffer Strip) (386)	5		
	<u>5</u>		
Chemigation Valve (442)	15		
Water Quantity: Ineffiecient Use on Irrigated Lands			
Irrigation Water Conveyance, Pipeline (430-EE)	15		
Flowmeter (587)	15		
Computer Panels (442)	15		
Drip Tape/LEPA (442)	40		
LESA (442)	20		
Irrigation Water Management, Convert to permanent vegetation 2 gpm/ac.	170**		
Irrigation Water Management, Convert to permanent vegetation 3 gpm/ac.	160**		
Irrigation Water Management, Net Water Savings in Acre Inches Per Acre 4gpm/ac.	45		
Air Quality: Undesirable Air Movement			
Animal Wildlife: Inadequate Cover/Shelter			
Range Planting (550),	10		
multiple species with shrubs			
3. Selected Conservation Practices	Total		
4. Other Considerations - 43 Potential Points			
	Potential	Benchmark	After Points
	Points	Points	
. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the	00		
pecies. Lesser Prairie Chicken	20	0	
. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream	40	0	
	10	0	
egment.			
Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 proj.	8	0	
Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 proj. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	5	0	
Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 proj. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project. Funding will be determined by water saved - total GPM of wells			
Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 proj. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.			